

Finite One-Loop Corrections and Perturbative Gauge Invariance in Quantum Gravity Coupled to Photon Fields

Nicola Grillo*

*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Zürich
Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

One-loop calculations in quantum gravity coupled to $U(1)$ -Abelian fields (photon fields) are ultraviolet finite and cutoff-free in the framework of causal perturbation theory. We compute the photon loop graviton self-energy and the photon self-energy in second order perturbation theory. The condition of perturbative gauge invariance to second order is shown and generates the gravitational Slavnov–Ward identities. Quantum corrections to the Newtonian potential through the photon loop graviton self-energy are also derived.

PACS numbers: 0460, 1110

Keywords: Quantum Gravity

Preprint: ZU-TH 39/1999

*grillo@physik.unizh.ch

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Quantized Einstein–Maxwell System and Perturbative Gauge Invariance	4
2.1	Inductive $\mathcal{S}$ -Matrix Construction	4
2.2	Quantized Einstein–Maxwell System	4
2.3	Gauge Invariance and First Order Coupling $T_1^A(\boldsymbol{x})$	5
2.4	Consequences of Perturbative Gauge Invariance to Second Order	7
3	Causal Construction of the Two-Point Distribution	8
4	Photon One-Loop Contribution to the Graviton Self-Energy	10
4.1	Causal $D_2(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ -Distribution for the Photon Loop	10
4.2	Singular Order, Distribution Splitting and Graviton Self-Energy Tensor	12
4.3	Slavnov–Ward Identities from Perturbative Gauge Invariance . .	14
4.4	Freedom in the Normalization of the Graviton Self-Energy	16
4.5	Corrections to the Newtonian Potential	17
5	Photon Self-Energy	18
	References	20

1 Introduction

The standard field theoretical perturbative approach to quantum gravity (see the introduction to this subject in [1] and references therein), considered as a flat space-time relativistic quantum field theory of *gravitons*, massless rank-2 tensor fields, coupled to *photons*, massless vector fields, leads to non-renormalizable ultraviolet (UV) divergences. These were found by means of dimensional regularization and background field method [2], [3], [4] and [5].

A closer investigation of loop calculations in quantum field theory shows that the reason for the UV divergences lies basically in the fact that one performs mathematically ill-defined operations, when using Feynman rules for closed loop graphs, because one multiplies Feynman propagators as if they were ordinary functions.

We show how it is possible to overcome these discouraging outcomes by applying an improved perturbation scheme which has as central objects the time-ordered products and as constructing principle causality. The S -matrix is constructed inductively as a sum of smeared operator-valued n -point distributions avoiding UV divergences.

UV finiteness of S -matrix elements is then a consequence of a deeper mathematical understanding of how loop graph contributions have to be calculated.

This idea goes back to Stückelberg, Bogoliubov and Shirkov and the program (causal perturbation theory) was carried out successfully by Epstein and Glaser [6] for scalar field theories and subsequently applied to QED by Scharf [7], to non-Abelian gauge theories by Dütsch *et al.* [8] and to quantum gravity [9].

In this work we carry out the analysis of the coupled quantized Einstein–Maxwell system, Sec. 2.2. Two main topics will be investigated in this paper: the gauge structure of the theory and the UV finiteness of loop graphs in second order perturbation theory.

The first is formulated by means of a ‘gauge charge’ that generates infinitesimal gauge variations of the fundamental free quantum fields. Gauge invariance of the S -matrix implies then a set of identities between the C-number part of the 2-point distributions, Sec. 2.4, which implies the gravitational Slavnov–Ward identities (SWI) [10].

The second is obtained by applying the ‘causal perturbation’ scheme, Sec. 3, to the calculations of the photon loop graviton self-energy, Sec. 4, and of the photon self-energy, Sec. 5, in second order perturbation theory. In both cases the results are UV finite and cutoff-free and the inherent ambiguity in the normalization of the 2-point distribution is settled by requiring appropriate normalization conditions for mass and coupling constant.

In addition, the causal scheme preserves the gauge symmetries of the theory. The trace of the graviton self-energy tensor vanishes. This property was manifestly broken using dimensional regularization in order to extract finite results from UV divergent quantities [11].

From the photon loop graviton self-energy we sketch the derivation of quan-

tum corrections to the Newtonian potential between two spinless massive bodies in the static non-relativistic limit, Sec. 4.5.

Gauge structure and one-loop calculations with gravitational self-coupling are not considered here, see [9], [12], [13], and [14]. These references contain also the notations and the conventions used here.

We use the unit convention: $\hbar = c = 1$, Greek indices $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ run from 0 to 3, whereas Latin indices $i, j, \dots$ run from 1 to 3.

2 Quantized Einstein–Maxwell System and Perturbative Gauge Invariance

2.1 Inductive S -Matrix Construction

In causal perturbation theory [7], [15], one makes an ansatz for the S -matrix as a formal power series in the coupling constant, namely as a sum of smeared operator-valued distributions:

$$S(g) = \mathbf{1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d^4x_1 \dots d^4x_n T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) g(x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot g(x_n), \quad (2.1)$$

where the Schwartz test function $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ switches adiabatically the interaction and provides a natural infrared cutoff in the long range part of the interaction. The S -matrix maps the asymptotically incoming free fields on the outgoing ones and it is possible to express the T_n 's by means of free fields. Interacting quantum fields are never used in the causal scheme.

The n -point distribution T_n is a well-defined ‘renormalized’ time-ordered product expressed in terms of Wick monomials of free fields $:\mathcal{O}(x_1, \dots, x_n):$:

$$T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} :\mathcal{O}(x_1, \dots, x_n): t_n^{\mathcal{O}}(x_1 - x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_n). \quad (2.2)$$

The t_n 's are C-number distributions. T_n is constructed inductively from the first order $T_1(x)$, which plays the rôle of the interaction Lagrangian in terms of free fields, and from the lower orders T_j , $j = 2, \dots, n-1$ by means of Poincaré covariance and causality. The latter leads directly to UV finite and cutoff-free T_n -distributions.

2.2 Quantized Einstein–Maxwell System

In the context of linearized gravity (without graviton self-interactions [9], [13], [14]) coupled to photon field [3], the interaction between the quantized symmetric tensor field $h^{\alpha\beta}(x)$, the graviton, and the quantized vector field $A^\mu(x)$, the photon, is considered in the background of Minkowski space-time.

The free graviton field satisfies the wave equation

$$\square h^{\mu\nu}(x) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

and is quantized according to

$$[h^{\mu\nu}(x), h^{\alpha\beta}(y)] = -i b^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} D_0(x-y), \quad (2.4)$$

where the b -tensor is constructed from the Minkowski metric

$$b^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} + \eta^{\mu\beta} \eta^{\nu\alpha} - \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \right), \quad (2.5)$$

and $D_0(x)$ is the mass-zero causal Jordan–Pauli distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} D_0(x) &= D_0^{(+)}(x) + D_0^{(-)}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(x^2) \operatorname{sgn}(x^0) \\ &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^4 p \delta(p^2) \operatorname{sgn}(p^0) e^{-i p \cdot x}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

(see [12] and [13] for the details of this procedure). The additional degrees of freedom present in the symmetric tensor field $h^{\mu\nu}$ (gravitons are massless spin-2 particles) could be eliminated by imposing appropriate gauge and trace conditions, but these are disregarded in the following and only considered later as a characterization of the physical states [12].

From the point of view of Lagrangian field theory [3], the Hilbert–Einstein Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{HE} = -2\kappa^{-2} \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$, ($\kappa^2 = 32\pi G$), is written by means of the Goldberg variable $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} := \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu}$ and expanded as $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \kappa h^{\mu\nu}$ around the flat space-time $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \operatorname{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$. From the zeroth order, choosing the gauge $h^{\mu\nu}_{,\nu} = 0$, one obtains (2.3).

The free photon field fulfils also the wave equation

$$\square A^\mu(x) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

and is quantized as

$$[A^\mu(x), A^\nu(y)] = +i \eta^{\mu\nu} D_0(x-y). \quad (2.8)$$

Also the field strength $F^{\mu\nu} := \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$ will be used in the following.

2.3 Gauge Invariance and First Order Coupling $T_1^A(x)$

The $U(1)_{EM}$ gauge content [7] of the photon field is formulated by means of the gauge charge Q_A

$$Q_A := \int_{x^0=\text{const}} d^3 x A^\nu(x)_{,\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_x^0 v(x), \quad (2.9)$$

where $v(x)$ is a C-number scalar field satisfying $\square v(x) = 0$. Actually, due to the generality of the gravitational coupling, one should also consider the coupling between $h^{\mu\nu}$ and the quantized electromagnetic ghost v and anti-ghost $\tilde{v}$ scalar fields, but this would have the form $\kappa \eta_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} \tilde{v} \square v$ from $\sqrt{-g} \tilde{v} \square v$ and therefore

can be written as a total divergence in the sense of vector analysis because of the presence of two equal derivatives on three massless fields. For this reason the electromagnetic ghosts will not be considered here.

On the other side, the gauge content of the graviton field (which is related to the general covariance of $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ under coordinate transformations [16]) is formulated by the gauge charge [9], [14]

$$Q := \int_{x^0=\text{const}} d^3x h^{\mu\nu}(x)_{,\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_x^0 u_\mu(x). \quad (2.10)$$

In order for gauge invariance to first order in pure quantum gravity to hold (see Eq. (2.15)) [9], for the construction of the physical subspace [12] and in order to prove unitarity of the S -matrix on the physical subspace [12], we need to quantize the ghost field $u^\mu(x)$, together with the anti-ghost field $\tilde{u}^\nu(x)$, as fermionic vector fields

$$\square u^\mu(x) = 0, \quad \square \tilde{u}^\nu(x) = 0, \quad \{u^\mu(x), \tilde{u}^\nu(y)\} = i \eta^{\mu\nu} D_0(x-y), \quad (2.11)$$

whereas all other anti-commutators vanish. The gauge charges generate the following infinitesimal gauge variations of the fundamental quantum fields:

$$\begin{aligned} d_Q h^{\mu\nu}(x) &:= [Q, h^{\mu\nu}(x)] = -i b^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u^\rho(x)_{,\sigma}, \\ d_Q u^\alpha(x) &:= \{Q, u^\alpha(x)\} = 0, \quad d_Q \tilde{u}^\alpha(x) := \{Q, \tilde{u}^\alpha(x)\} = i h^{\alpha\beta}(x)_{,\beta}, \\ d_{Q_A} A^\alpha(x) &:= [Q_A, A^\alpha(x)] = i \partial_x^\alpha v(x), \quad d_{Q_A} F^{\alpha\beta}(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

The operator d_Q obeys also the Leibniz rule

$$d_Q(A B) = (d_Q A) B + (-1)^{n_G(A)} A d_Q B, \quad (2.13)$$

for arbitrary operators A and B , where $n_G(A)$ is the number of ghost fields minus the number of anti-ghost fields in the Wick monomial A .

For convenience of notation, the trace of the graviton field is written as $h = h^\gamma_\gamma$ and all Lorentz indices of the fields are written as superscripts whereas the derivatives acting on the fields are written as subscripts. All indices occurring twice are contracted by the Minkowski metric $\eta^{\mu\nu}$. We skip the space-time dependence if the meaning is clear.

The first order interaction between graviton field and photon fields is dictated by gauge invariance and by the assumption that the graviton interacts with the traceless and conserved electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor, see below.

Gauge invariance of the S -matrix means formally

$$\lim_{g \rightarrow 1} d_Q S(g) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{g \rightarrow 1} d_{Q_A} S(g) = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Since the existence of the adiabatic limit $g \rightarrow 1$ in massless theories can be problematic, we consider the condition of perturbative gauge invariance to n -th order

$$d_Q T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = d_{Q_A} T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{sum of divergences}, \quad (2.15)$$

which implies S -matrix gauge invariance, because divergences do not contribute in the adiabatic limit $g \rightarrow 1$ due to partial integration and Gauss' theorem.

We define $T_1^A(x)$ as

$$T_1^A(x) := i \frac{\kappa}{2} : h^{\mu\nu}(x) b_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} T_{EM}^{\alpha\beta}(x) :, \quad (2.16)$$

where $T_{EM}^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ corresponds to the electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor and reads

$$T_{EM}^{\alpha\beta}(x) := -F^{\alpha\gamma}(x) F_{\gamma}^{\beta}(x) + \frac{1}{4} \eta^{\alpha\beta} F^{\rho\sigma}(x) F_{\rho\sigma}(x). \quad (2.17)$$

Actually, the b -tensor is not essential, because $T_{EM}^{\alpha\beta}$ is traceless. Its origin lies in the Goldberg variable expansion. $T_1^A(x)$ corresponds to the term of order κ in the expansion of the generally covariant electromagnetic Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{-g} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta}, \quad (2.18)$$

as a function of $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$ around the flat space-time.

Perturbative gauge invariance to first order is readily established. $T_1^A(x)$ is $U(1)_{EM}$ gauge invariant

$$d_{Q_A} T_1^A(x) = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

due to $d_{Q_A} F^{\mu\nu}(x) = 0$. The $U(1)_{EM}$ gauge structure of the theory is rather trivial and will not be considered.

Gauge invariance with respect to the gauge charge Q

$$d_Q T_1^A(x) = \frac{\kappa}{2} : u^\rho(x)_{,\sigma} T_{EM}^{\rho\sigma}(x) := \partial_\sigma^\rho \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} : u^\rho(x) T_{EM}^{\rho\sigma} : \right) =: \partial_\sigma^x T_{1/1}^\sigma(x) \quad (2.20)$$

holds because of $T_{EM}^{\alpha\beta}(x)_{,\beta} = 0$. $T_{1/1}^\sigma(x)$ is the so-called Q -vertex [8], [9]. It allows us to formulate the condition of perturbative gauge invariance to the n -th order in a precise way :

$$d_Q T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l^\nu} T_{n/l}^\nu(x_1, \dots, x_l, \dots, x_n), \quad (2.21)$$

where $T_{n/l}^\nu$ is the 'renormalized' time-ordered product, obtained according to the inductive causal scheme, with one Q -vertex at x_l , while all other $n - 1$ vertices are ordinary T_1 -vertices.

2.4 Consequences of Perturbative Gauge Invariance to Second Order

Without performing any calculation, from the structure of T_1^A we can anticipate that the two-point distribution describing loop graphs has the form

$$T_2(x, y)^{\text{loops}} = : h^{\alpha\beta}(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y) : t_{hh}(x, y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + : F^{\alpha\beta}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(y) : t_{FF}(x, y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}.$$

Here, the first term represents the photon loop graviton self-energy and the second term the photon self-energy. The C-number distributions t_{hh} and t_{FF} will be explicitly calculated in Sec. 4 and in Sec. 5, respectively.

Perturbative gauge invariance to second order, Eq. (2.21) with $n = 2$, enables us to derive a set of identities for these distributions by comparing the distributions attached to the same external operators on both sides of Eq. (2.21), as in [17]. Calculating $d_Q T_2(x, y)^{\text{loops}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_Q T_2(x, y)^{\text{loops}} = & + :u^\rho(x)_{,\sigma} h^{\mu\nu}(y): \left(-i b^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} t_{hh}(x, y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \right) + \\ & + :h^{\alpha\beta}(x) u^\rho(y)_{,\sigma}: \left(-i b^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} t_{hh}(x, y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

On the other side, the loop contributions coming from $T_{2/1}^\sigma(x, y)$ can only be of the form

$$T_{2/1}^\sigma(x, y) = :u^\rho(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y): t_{uh}^\sigma(x, y)_{\rho\mu\nu} + :u^\sigma(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y): t_{uh}(x, y)_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.23)$$

Applying ∂_σ^x to the expression above we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\sigma^x T_{2/1}^\sigma(x, y) = & + :u^\rho(x)_{,\sigma} h^{\mu\nu}(y): \left\{ t_{uh}^\sigma(x, y)_{\rho\mu\nu} + \eta_\rho^\sigma t_{uh}(x, y)_{\mu\nu} \right\} + \\ & + :u^\rho(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y): \partial_\sigma^x \left\{ t_{uh}^\sigma(x, y)_{\rho\mu\nu} + \eta_\rho^\sigma t_{uh}(x, y)_{\mu\nu} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

We compare the C-number distributions in (2.22) and in (2.24) attached to the external operators

$$:u^\rho(x)_{,\sigma} h^{\mu\nu}(y): \quad \text{and} \quad :u^\rho(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y):. \quad (2.25)$$

No such terms come from $T_{2/2}^\sigma(x, y)$. Therefore, we obtain the identities

$$\begin{aligned} -i b^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} t_{hh}(x, y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &= \left\{ t_{uh}^\sigma(x, y)_{\rho\mu\nu} + \eta^{\rho\sigma} t_{uh}(x, y)_{\mu\nu} \right\}, \\ 0 &= \partial_\sigma^x \left\{ t_{uh}^\sigma(x, y)_{\rho\mu\nu} + \eta^{\rho\sigma} t_{uh}(x, y)_{\mu\nu} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

By applying ∂_σ^x to the first identity and inserting the second one, we obtain

$$b^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \partial_\sigma^x t_{hh}(x, y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (2.27)$$

This identity has been explicitly checked in Eq. (4.20) and implies the gravitational Slavnov–Ward identities [3], [10] for the two-point connected Green function (see Sec. 4.3)

3 Causal Construction of the Two-Point Distribution

We outline briefly the main steps in the inductive causal construction of $T_2(x, y)$ from the first order interaction $T_1^A(x)$. Following the inductive scheme [7], we first calculate

$$R_2'(x, y) := -T_1^A(y) T_1^A(x), \quad A_2'(x, y) := -T_1^A(x) T_1^A(y). \quad (3.1)$$

From these two quantities, we form the causal distribution

$$D_2(x, y) := R'_2(x, y) - A'_2(x, y) = [T_1^A(x), T_1^A(y)]. \quad (3.2)$$

In order to obtain $D_2(x, y)$, one has to carry out all possible contractions between the normally ordered T_1 using Wick's lemma, so that $D_2(x, y)$ has the following structure (see (2.2))

$$D_2(x, y) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O}(x, y) : d_2^{\mathcal{O}}(x - y). \quad (3.3)$$

$d_2^{\mathcal{O}}(x - y)$ is a numerical distributions that depends only on the relative coordinate $x - y$, because of translation invariance.

$D_2(x, y)$ contains tree (one contraction), loop (two contractions) and vacuum graph (three contractions) contributions. Due to the presence of normal ordering, tadpole diagrams do not appear. $D_2(x, y)$ is causal, *i.e.* $\text{supp}(d_2^{\mathcal{O}}(z)) \subseteq \overline{V^+(z)} \cup \overline{V^-(z)}$, with $z := x - y$.

In order to obtain $T_2(x, y)$ we have to split $D_2(x, y)$ into a retarded part, $R_2(x, y)$, and an advanced part, $A_2(x, y)$, with respect to the coincident point $z = 0$, so that $\text{supp}(R_2(z)) \subseteq \overline{V^+(z)}$ and $\text{supp}(A_2(z)) \subseteq \overline{V^-(z)}$. This splitting, or 'time-ordering', has to be carried out in the distributional sense with great care so that the retarded and advanced part are well-defined and UV finite [6], [7].

The splitting affects only the numerical distribution $d_2^{\mathcal{O}}(x - y)$ and must be accomplished according to the correct 'singular order' $\omega(d_2^{\mathcal{O}})$ which describes roughly speaking the behaviour of $d_2^{\mathcal{O}}(x - y)$ near $x - y = 0$, or that of $\hat{d}_2^{\mathcal{O}}(p)$ in the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$, respectively. If $\omega < 0$, then the splitting is trivial and agrees with the standard time-ordering. If $\omega \geq 0$, then the splitting is non-trivial and non-unique:

$$d_2^{\mathcal{O}}(x - y) \longrightarrow r_2^{\mathcal{O}}(x - y) + \sum_{|a|=0}^{\omega(d_2^{\mathcal{O}})} C_{a,\mathcal{O}} D^a \delta^{(4)}(x - y), \quad (3.4)$$

and a retarded part $r_2^{\mathcal{O}}(x - y)$ is obtained in momentum space by means of a dispersion-like integral of the type

$$\hat{r}_2^{\mathcal{O}}(p) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{\hat{d}_2^{\mathcal{O}}(tp)}{(t - i0)^{\omega+1} (1 - t + i0)}, \quad p \in \overline{V^+}, \quad (3.5)$$

which requires modifications in the case of massless theories [8].

Eq. (3.4) contains a local normalization ambiguity: the $C_{a,\mathcal{O}}$'s are undetermined finite normalization constants, which multiply terms with point support $D^a \delta^{(4)}(x - y)$ (D^a is a partial differential operator). This freedom in the normalization has to be restricted by physical conditions. For example, Lorentz covariance and gauge invariance will be used in our discussions.

Finally, $T_2(x, y)$ is obtained by subtracting $R'_2(x, y)$ from $R_2(x, y)$ and can be written as

$$T_2(x, y) + N_2(x, y) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O}(x, y) : \left\{ t_2^{\mathcal{O}}(x - y) + \sum_{|a|=0}^{\omega(d_2^{\mathcal{O}})} C_{a, \mathcal{O}} D^a \delta^{(4)}(x - y) \right\}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $N_2(x, y)$ represents the normalization terms given by the second term on the right side.

4 Photon One-Loop Contribution to the Graviton Self-Energy

4.1 Causal $D_2(x, y)$ -Distribution for the Photon Loop

We now apply the causal scheme described in Sec. 3 in order to calculate the 2-point distribution $T_2(x, y)^{gSE}$ that describes the photon loop graviton self-energy.

First of all, from the commutation rules (2.4) and (2.8) we derive the contractions between two field operators

$$\begin{aligned} C\{h^{\alpha\beta}(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y)\} &:= [h^{\alpha\beta}(x)^{(-)}, h^{\mu\nu}(y)^{(+)})] = -i b^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} D_0^{(+)}(x - y), \\ C\{A^\mu(x) A^\nu(y)\} &:= [A^\mu(x)^{(-)}, A^\nu(y)^{(+)})] = +i \eta^{\mu\nu} D_0^{(+)}(x - y), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $(\pm)$ refers to the positive/negative frequency part of the corresponding quantity.

The distributions $R'_2(x, y)^{gSE}$ and $A'_2(x, y)^{gSE}$, defined in Eq. (3.1), are obtained by performing two photon contractions between the two first order interactions $T_1^A(x)$ and $T_1^A(y)$. After this operation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A'_2(x, y)^{gSE} &= + : h^{\alpha\beta}(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y) : a'_2(x - y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE}, \\ R'_2(x, y)^{gSE} &= + : h^{\alpha\beta}(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y) : r'_2(x - y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

The C-number tensorial distributions $a'_2(x - y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE}$ and $r'_2(x - y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE}$ are given by linear combinations of products between positive/negative frequency parts of the Jordan–Pauli distributions (2.6) and carry four derivatives (each photon field in T_1^A carries one derivative). Therefore, the basic distribution appearing here is

$$D_{\alpha\beta|\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}(x - y) := \partial_\alpha^x \partial_\beta^x D_0^{(+)}(x - y) \cdot \partial_\mu^x \partial_\nu^x D_0^{(+)}(x - y). \quad (4.3)$$

Summing up all the contributions coming from $-T_1^A(x)T_1^A(y)$ after performing two photon contractions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d'_2(x-y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE} = \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \Big\{ & -2\eta_{\mu\nu}D_{\alpha\rho|\beta\rho}^{(+)} - 2\eta_{\alpha\beta}D_{\mu\rho|\nu\rho}^{(+)} - 4D_{\alpha\beta|\mu\nu}^{(+)} + 4\eta_{\alpha\mu}D_{\beta\rho|\nu\rho}^{(+)} \\
& + 2\eta_{\alpha\nu}D_{\beta\rho|\mu\rho}^{(+)} + 2\eta_{\beta\mu}D_{\alpha\rho|\mu\rho}^{(+)} + \\
& - (\eta_{\alpha\mu}\eta_{\beta\nu} + \eta_{\alpha\nu}\eta_{\beta\mu} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}\eta_{\mu\nu})D_{\gamma\rho|\gamma\rho}^{(+)} \Big\}, \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

as a function of the $D_{\dots|\dots}^{(+)}$ -distributions. The distribution $r'_2(x-y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE}$ has the same form as above, but with $D_{\dots|\dots}^{(-)}$ instead of $D_{\dots|\dots}^{(+)}$ (because of the relation $D_0^{(+)}(y-x) = -D_0^{(-)}(x-y)$). The $D_{\dots|\dots}^{(\pm)}$ -distribution are easily evaluated in momentum space by means of Eq. (2.6):

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{D}_{\alpha\beta|\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}(p) = \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4k \delta((p-k)^2) \Theta(\pm(p^0-k^0)) \delta(k^2) \Theta(\pm k^0) \\
\times \left[+p_\alpha p_\beta k_\mu k_\nu - p_\alpha k_\beta k_\mu k_\nu - p_\beta k_\alpha k_\mu k_\nu + k_\alpha k_\beta k_\mu k_\nu \right], \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

(see App. 1 of [13]), because products of Jordan–Pauli distributions go over into convolutions of the corresponding Fourier transforms. Therefore, we see that we have to deal with integrals of the type

$$\begin{aligned}
I^{(\pm)}(p)_{-/ \alpha / \alpha \beta / \alpha \beta \mu / \alpha \beta \mu \nu} := \int d^4k \delta((p-k)^2) \Theta(\pm(p^0-k^0)) \delta(k^2) \Theta(\pm k^0) \\
\times [1, k_\alpha, k_\alpha k_\beta, k_\alpha k_\beta k_\mu, k_\alpha k_\beta k_\mu k_\nu]. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

These integrals have been calculated in detail in App. 2 of [13] and partially in [8]. We give here only the final results:

$$\begin{aligned}
I^{(\pm)}(p) &= \frac{\pi}{2} \Theta(p^2) \Theta(\pm p^0), \\
I^{(\pm)}(p)_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{\pi}{6} \left(p_\alpha p_\beta - \frac{p^2}{4} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \right) \Theta(p^2) \Theta(\pm p^0), \\
I^{(\pm)}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu} &= \frac{\pi}{8} \left(p_\alpha p_\beta p_\mu - \frac{p^2}{6} (p_\alpha \eta_{\beta\mu} + p_\beta \eta_{\alpha\mu} + p_\mu \eta_{\alpha\beta}) \right) \Theta(p^2) \Theta(\pm p^0), \\
I^{(\pm)}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &= \frac{\pi}{10} \left(p_\alpha p_\beta p_\mu p_\nu - \frac{p^2}{8} \left(+p_\alpha p_\beta \eta_{\mu\nu} + p_\alpha p_\mu \eta_{\beta\nu} + p_\alpha p_\nu \eta_{\beta\mu} + \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + p_\beta p_\mu \eta_{\alpha\nu} + p_\beta p_\nu \eta_{\alpha\mu} + p_\mu p_\nu \eta_{\alpha\beta} \right) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{p^4}{48} \left(+\eta_{\alpha\mu} \eta_{\beta\nu} + \eta_{\alpha\nu} \eta_{\beta\mu} + \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\mu\nu} \right) \right) \Theta(p^2) \Theta(\pm p^0). \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we obtain the relations for the $\hat{D}_{\dots|\dots}^{(\pm)}$ -distributions

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{D}_{\alpha\rho|\beta\rho}^{(\pm)}(p) &= \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^4} [p_\alpha p_\rho I^{(\pm)}(p)_{\rho\beta} - p_\rho I^{(\pm)}(p)_{\rho\alpha\beta}] \\ &= \frac{-\pi}{48(2\pi)^4} [2p^2 p_\alpha p_\beta + p^4 \eta_{\alpha\beta}] \Theta(p^2) \Theta(\pm p^0), \\ \hat{D}_{\gamma\rho|\gamma\rho}^{(\pm)}(p) &= \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^4} [p_\gamma p_\rho I^{(\pm)}(p)_{\gamma\rho}] \\ &= \frac{-\pi}{8(2\pi)^4} p^4 \Theta(p^2) \Theta(\pm p^0).\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Therefore, from (4.2) with $\Theta(p^2) \Theta(-p^0) - \Theta(p^2) \Theta(+p^0) = -\Theta(p^2) \text{sgn}(p^0)$ we find

$$D_2(x, y)^{gSE} =: h^{\alpha\beta}(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y) : d_2(x - y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE}.\tag{4.9}$$

With Eqs. (4.4), (4.8) and after symmetrization in $(\alpha\beta) \leftrightarrow (\mu\nu)$ the C-number tensorial d_2 -distribution reads in momentum space

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{d}_2(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE} &= \frac{\kappa^2 \pi}{960(2\pi)^4} \left[-16 p_\alpha p_\beta p_\mu p_\nu - 8 p^2 (p_\alpha p_\beta \eta_{\mu\nu} + p_\mu p_\nu \eta_{\alpha\beta}) + \right. \\ &\quad + 12 p^2 (p_\alpha p_\mu \eta_{\beta\nu} + p_\alpha p_\nu \eta_{\beta\mu} + p_\beta p_\mu \eta_{\alpha\nu} + p_\beta p_\nu \eta_{\alpha\mu}) + \\ &\quad - 12 p^4 (\eta_{\alpha\mu} \eta_{\beta\nu} + \eta_{\alpha\nu} \eta_{\beta\mu}) \\ &\quad \left. + 8 p^4 \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\mu\nu} \right] \Theta(p^2) \text{sgn}(p^0).\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

For simplicity, we use the shorthand notation

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{d}_2(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE} &= \Upsilon \hat{P}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE} \hat{d}(p), \\ \hat{P}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE} &:= [-16, -8, +12, -12, +8],\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

where $\Upsilon := \kappa^2 \pi / (960(2\pi)^4)$, and $\hat{d}(p) := \Theta(p^2) \text{sgn}(p^0)$ (this scalar distribution is typical for a loop with massless particles) and the numerical coefficients always refer to the polynomial structure as in Eq. (4.10). The polynomial $\hat{P}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE}$ has degree four in p , because of the four derivatives present on the two contracted photon lines.

4.2 Singular Order, Distribution Splitting and Graviton Self-Energy Tensor

According to the inductive construction of $T_2(x, y)$, Sec. 3, the next step is the splitting of $D_2(x, y)$ into a retarded part $R_2(x, y)$ and an advanced part $A_2(x, y)$. In this procedure the singular order of the distribution $D_2(x, y)$ plays

an essential rôle. From (4.10) it follows that $\omega(\hat{d}_2) = 4$, because of the presence of the polynomial of degree four in p .

A general formula for the singular order of any distribution in linearized gravity coupled to photon fields can be found by considering in the n -th order of perturbation theory an arbitrary n -point distribution

$$T_n^G(x_1, \dots, x_n) =: \prod_{j=1}^{n_h} h(x_{k_j}) \prod_{i=1}^{n_A} A(x_{m_i}) : t_n^G(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (4.12)$$

This T_n^G corresponds to a graph G with n_h external graviton lines and n_A external photon lines. Then we state that the singular order of G is given by

$$\omega(G) \leq 4 - n_h - n_A - d + n. \quad (4.13)$$

Here, d is the number of derivatives on the external field operators in (4.12). The explicit presence of the order of perturbation theory renders the theory ‘non-normalizable’: the number of free undetermined, but finite normalization terms in Eq. (3.6) increases with the order of perturbation theory, that is the theory has a weaker predictive power but it is still well-defined in the sense of UV finiteness. The proof of (4.13) has the same structure as in QED, Yang–Mills theories and pure quantum gravity, see therefore [7], [8] and [13], respectively.

In the case of the graviton self-energy contribution, Eq. (4.9), we obtain from (4.13) the correct result $\omega(\hat{d}_2) = 4$, being $n_h = 2$, $n_A = 0$, $d = 0$ and $n = 2$. The singular order of a distribution remains unchanged after distribution splitting.

Because of the decomposition (4.11), it suffices to split $\hat{d}(p)$, which has $\omega(\hat{d}) = 0$, in order to obtain a retarded part $\hat{r}(p)$. The full retarded distribution is then given by $\hat{r}_2(p)^{gSE} = \Upsilon \hat{P}(p)^{gSE}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \hat{r}(p)$. The ambiguity in the normalization appearing in the splitting formula (3.4) will be discussed in Sec. 4.4.

The splitting of the scalar distribution $\hat{d}(p)$ was already carried out in [8], using a modification of the formula (3.5) for the retarded part, see also [13]. We quote only the result for the analytic continuation to $p \in \mathbb{R}^4$ of the retarded part:

$$\hat{r}(p)^{an} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{-p^2 - i p^0 0}{M^2} \right), \quad (4.14)$$

where $M > 0$ is a scale invariance breaking mass. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(x, y)^{gSE} &=: h^{\alpha\beta}(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y) : r_2(x - y)^{gSE}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}, \\ \hat{r}_2(p)^{gSE}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &= i \frac{\Upsilon}{2\pi} \hat{P}(p)^{gSE}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \log \left(\frac{-p^2 - i p^0 0}{M^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

The distribution $T_2(x, y)$ is obtained from $R_2(x, y)$ by subtracting $R'_2(x, y)$, Eq. (4.2). This subtraction affects only the scalar distribution. Since $\hat{r}'(p) =$

$-\Theta(p^2)\Theta(-p^0)$, we obtain

$$\hat{t}(p) = \hat{r}(p)^{an} - \hat{r}'(p) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{-p^2 - i0}{M^2} \right). \quad (4.16)$$

Therefore, the 2-point distribution for the photon loop graviton self-energy reads

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(x, y)^{gSE} &=: h^{\alpha\beta}(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y) : i \Pi(x - y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{gSE}, \\ i \hat{\Pi}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &= i \Xi \left[-16, -8, +12, -12, +8 \right] \log \left(\frac{-p^2 - i0}{M^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

with $\Xi := \frac{1}{2\pi} \Upsilon$. The most important aspect of this result lies in the fact that the obtained $T_2(x, y)^{gSE}$ -distribution is UV finite without the introduction of counterterms [2], [3] and [4] and cutoff-free. Note that M is a normalization constant and not a cutoff.

4.3 Slavnov–Ward Identities from Perturbative Gauge Invariance

We investigate the gauge properties of the graviton self-energy tensor. For simplicity let us denote by $[A, B, C, E, F]$ the five numerical coefficients of $\Pi(x - y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ given as in Eq. (4.10).

First of all, $T_2(x, y)^{gSE}$ satisfies the condition of perturbative gauge invariance to second order (2.21). Using the infinitesimal operator gauge variation (2.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_Q T_2(x, y)^{gSE} &= + \partial_\sigma^x \left(: u^\rho(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y) : \left[+ b^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \Pi(x - y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \right] \right) + \\ &+ \partial_\sigma^y \left(: h^{\alpha\beta}(x) u^\rho(y) : \left[+ b^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Pi(x - y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

because, after working out explicitly in momentum space, the necessary condition for (4.18) to hold,

$$b^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} p_\sigma \hat{\Pi}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (4.19)$$

corresponds to the identity (2.27) and is satisfied by $\hat{\Pi}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ of Eq. (4.17). In terms of the $A, \dots, F$ coefficients, (4.19) implies

$$C + E = 0, \quad A - 2B = 0, \quad B - 2E - 2F = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

and these relations are satisfied by the polynomial in (4.17).

We show now that these relations implies the gravitational Slavnov–Ward identities (SWI) [3], [10]. We construct the 2-point connected Green function with one photon loop

$$\hat{G}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{[2]} := b_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \hat{D}_0^F(p) \hat{\Pi}(p)^{\gamma\delta\rho\sigma} b_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} \hat{D}_0^F(p), \quad (4.21)$$

with the Feynman propagator $\hat{D}_0^F(p) = (2\pi)^{-2}(-p^2 - i0)^{-1}$. The gravitational SWI reads

$$p^\alpha \hat{G}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{[2]} = 0, \quad (4.22)$$

namely the 2-point connected Green function is transversal. Eq. (4.22) implies the relations

$$C + E = 0, \quad A - 2B = 0, \quad \frac{A}{4} + C - F = 0. \quad (4.23)$$

Analysis of (4.20) and (4.23) shows that the condition of perturbative gauge invariance is equivalent to the gravitational SWI. In addition, the photon loop is transversal also without the b -tensors that come from the graviton propagators:

$$p^\alpha \hat{\Pi}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (4.24)$$

because the equivalent relations

$$C + E = 0, \quad B + F = 0, \quad A + B + 2C = 0. \quad (4.25)$$

are fulfilled by (4.17). This property follows directly from our perturbative invariance condition (2.27), because the trace of $\hat{\Pi}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ vanishes (see below).

The last two properties of $\hat{\Pi}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ concern its trace and are related to conformal transformations. Conformal invariance is manifest in the vanishing of the trace of the Maxwell energy-momentum tensor in four dimension. Basically, the photon loop graviton self-energy consists of a ‘time-ordered’ product of two such traceless photon energy-momentum tensors (2.17). Therefore, in addition to the identities (4.19), it is expected that $\hat{\Pi}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ and $\hat{G}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{[2]}$ are traceless. The condition

$$\eta^{\alpha\beta} \hat{\Pi}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (4.26)$$

namely that the photon loop graviton self-energy tensor is traceless, implies the relations

$$B + 2E + 4F = 0, \quad A + 4B + 4C = 0. \quad (4.27)$$

These are satisfied by (4.17). Note that (4.27) does not follow from the perturbative gauge invariance conditions (4.20). In addition, in our approach, also the trace of the 2-point connected Green function vanishes:

$$\eta^{\alpha\beta} \hat{G}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{[2]} = 0, \quad (4.28)$$

because the equivalent relations

$$\frac{A}{2} + 3B + 2C + 2E + 4F = 0, \quad A + 4B + 4C = 0. \quad (4.29)$$

are satisfied by the coefficients of the photon loop self-energy tensor in Eq. (4.17). This is in sharp contrast to the calculation in [3], [11], performed within the dimensional regularization scheme. This scheme generates conformal trace anomalies [18], because the trace operation is not dimensional invariant. As a consequence, the extraction of the finite part from UV divergent quantities breaks this symmetry.

Causal perturbation theory not only provides us with UV finite results but preserves the underlying symmetries of the theory such as the SWI and the vanishing of the trace of the self-energy tensor. Invariance under conformal transformations is broken by the presence of the mass scale M in the logarithm of Eq. (4.17). If necessary, we could exploit the ambiguity in the normalization to restore it, see Sec. 4.4.

4.4 Freedom in the Normalization of the Graviton Self-Energy

We still have to discuss the ambiguity in the splitting procedure, namely the appearance of undetermined local normalization terms.

Having singular order four, the 2-point photon loop graviton self-energy contribution $T_2(x, y)^{gSE}$ admits a general normalization of the form

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(x, y)^{gSE} &= h^{\alpha\beta}(x) h^{\mu\nu}(y) : i N(\partial_x, \partial_y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \delta^{(4)}(x - y), \\ \hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &= \hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(2)} + \hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(4)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where the odd terms are excluded by parity. $\hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(i)}$ is a polynomial in p of degree i with $i = 0, 2, 4$. We assume in addition that only scalar constants should be considered, because vector-valued or tensor-valued constants may endanger Lorentz covariance. Taking the symmetries of $\Pi(x - y)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ into account, we make the following ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(0)} &= \Xi \left[+ c_1 (\eta_{\alpha\mu} \eta_{\beta\nu} + \eta_{\alpha\nu} \eta_{\beta\mu}) + c_2 \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\mu\nu} \right], \\ \hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(2)} &= \Xi \left[+ c_3 (p_\alpha p_\beta \eta_{\mu\nu} + p_\mu p_\nu \eta_{\alpha\beta}) + \right. \\ &\quad + c_4 (p_\alpha p_\mu \eta_{\beta\nu} + p_\alpha p_\nu \eta_{\beta\mu} + p_\beta p_\mu \eta_{\alpha\nu} + p_\beta p_\nu \eta_{\alpha\mu}) + \\ &\quad \left. + c_5 p^2 (\eta_{\alpha\mu} \eta_{\beta\nu} + \eta_{\alpha\nu} \eta_{\beta\mu}) + c_6 p^2 \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\mu\nu} \right], \\ \hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(4)} &= \Xi [c_7, c_8, c_9, c_{10}, c_{11}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

$c_1, \dots, c_{11}$ are undetermined real numbers. The normalization polynomials have to fulfil the same symmetries as $\hat{\Pi}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, namely: (4.20), (4.25), (4.27) and (4.29). These reduce the choice to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(0)} &= 0, \quad \hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(2)} = 0, \\ \hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(4)} &= \Xi \frac{c_{11}}{4} [-16, -8, +12, -12, +8]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Since $\hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(4)}$ has the same structure as $\hat{\Pi}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, we absorb the undetermined parameter c_{11} in the mass scale M appearing in Eq. (4.17) through the rescaling

$c_{11} = 4 \log(M^2/M_0^2)$. The whole freedom in the normalization is reduced to the mass parameter M_0 in the logarithm owing to the symmetries that the self-energy tensor and its normalization have to fulfil.

This normalization automatically preserves graviton mass- and coupling constant-normalizations. If one sums up the infinite series with an increasing number of photon loop graviton self-energy insertions, the vanishing of $\hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ implies that the graviton mass is not shifted by quantum corrections and the vanishing of $\hat{N}(p)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ implies that the coupling constant κ is not changed by quantum corrections.

As pointed out at the end of Sec. 4.3, we could exploit this normalization to restore conformal invariance. Through a rescaling of M_0 we can compensate the variation of p^2 under such a transformation. The drawback lies in the arbitrariness of this operation.

4.5 Corrections to the Newtonian Potential

As pointed out in [19], [20], [21] and [22], massless particle loop corrections to the graviton propagator leads to quantum corrections of the Newtonian potential between massive spinless bodies in the static non-relativistic limit. These can be appropriately defined by considering the whole set of diagrams in the scattering $\varphi_1\varphi_2 \rightarrow \varphi_1\varphi_2$, where φ_i represents a scalar field of mass m_i , of the order κ^4 (*i.e.* $\sim G^2$). Then one isolates the non-local contributions. These lead to r^{-2} and r^{-3} corrections to the Newtonian potential $V(r) = -Gm_1m_2r^{-1}$ in the static non-relativistic limit. For this purpose, the logarithm-dependent result (4.17) generates the correction

$$V(r) = -G \frac{m_1m_2}{r} \left(1 + \frac{G\hbar}{c^3\pi} \frac{8}{15} \frac{1}{r^2} \right), \quad (4.33)$$

as calculated in [13], where $\hbar$ and c are put back in the expression and the relevant length scale appears to be the Planck length $l_p = \sqrt{G\hbar/c^3}$.

Note that the mass scale M in Eq. (4.17) is irrelevant, because it contributes only to local terms $\sim \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x})$. Therefore, the still remaining freedom in the normalization, namely the choice of M , is irrelevant to physical quantum corrections of the Newtonian potential.

The correction in Eq. (4.33) is only a partial one, because we have taken into account only the photon loop graviton self-energy contribution and not the complete set of diagrams of order κ^4 contributing to these corrections, as, for example, the vertex correction or the double scattering. Therefore we cannot make any statement on the absolute sign and magnitude of the numerical factor in Eq. (4.33). To our knowledge, photon loop corrections to the exchanged graviton have not been considered yet.

5 Photon Self-Energy

We now turn to the calculation of the photon self-energy. Since the main features of the causal scheme have already been presented, we can go on more speedily. Moreover this graph is not interesting from the point of view of gauge invariance: it is trivially gauge invariant due to $d_{Q_A} F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$.

In order to obtain the relevant $A'_2(x, y)$ and $R'_2(x, y)$, we carry out one graviton and one field-strength contraction between $T_1^A(x)$ and $T_1^A(y)$ and obtain

$$A'_2(x, y) =: F^{\alpha\gamma}(x) F^{\mu\rho}(y) : a'_2(x - y)_{\alpha\gamma|\mu\rho}, \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$a'_2(x - y)_{\alpha\gamma|\mu\rho} := \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \left(-\eta_{\gamma\rho} D_{\cdot|\mu\alpha}^{(+)} - \eta_{\gamma\mu} D_{\cdot|\alpha\rho}^{(+)} - \eta_{\alpha\rho} D_{\cdot|\gamma\mu}^{(+)} + \right. \\ \left. - 5\eta_{\alpha\mu} D_{\cdot|\gamma\rho}^{(+)} + 2\eta_{\gamma\alpha} D_{\cdot|\mu\rho}^{(+)} + 2\eta_{\mu\rho} D_{\cdot|\gamma\alpha}^{(+)} \right) (x - y), \quad (5.2)$$

where we have as in (4.5)

$$\hat{D}_{\cdot|\mu\nu}^{(+)}(p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4k \delta((p - k)^2) \Theta(p^0 - k^0) \delta(k^2) \Theta(k^0) k_\mu k_\nu. \quad (5.3)$$

The expression in (5.2) has to be antisymmetrized in $\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma$ and in $\mu \leftrightarrow \rho$, because these manifest antisymmetries in (5.1) get lost using the $D_{\dots|\dots}^{(\pm)}$ -distributions. Therefore, with (4.6) we find in momentum space

$$\hat{a}'_2(p)_{\alpha\gamma|\mu\rho} = \frac{\kappa^2\pi}{48(2\pi)^4} \left[+ 2p_\alpha p_\rho \eta_{\gamma\mu} - 2p_\gamma p_\rho \eta_{\alpha\mu} - 2p_\alpha p_\mu \eta_{\gamma\rho} + 2p_\gamma p_\mu \eta_{\alpha\rho} + \right. \\ \left. - p^2 \eta_{\gamma\mu} \eta_{\alpha\rho} + p^2 \eta_{\alpha\mu} \eta_{\gamma\rho} \right] \Theta(p^2) \Theta(p^0). \quad (5.4)$$

The result for $R'_2(x, y)$ is analogous to that of $A'_2(x, y)$: the C-number tensor distribution $r'_2(x - y)_{\alpha\gamma|\mu\rho}$ depends on the $D_{\dots|\dots}^{(-)}$ -distributions so that in momentum space the factor $\Theta(-p^0)$ is present instead of $\Theta(p^0)$. The causal D_2 -distribution is then given by

$$D_2(x, y)^{pSE} =: F^{\alpha\gamma}(x) F^{\mu\rho}(y) : d_2(x - y)_{\alpha\gamma|\mu\rho}^{pSE}, \\ \hat{d}(p)_{\alpha\gamma|\mu\rho}^{pSE} = \frac{-\kappa^2\pi}{48(2\pi)^4} [\text{the same as in (5.4)}] \Theta(p^2) \text{sgn}(p^0). \quad (5.5)$$

From Eq. (4.13) or from direct inspection, we ascertain that the singular order of the distribution is two. The distribution splitting is the same as in Sec. 4.2, Eq. (4.16), because the loop consists of massless particles. Therefore, the $T_2(x, y)$ -distribution corresponding to the photon self-energy reads

$$T_2(x, y)^{pSE} =: F^{\alpha\gamma}(x) F^{\mu\rho}(y) : \left(-i \Pi(x - y)_{\alpha\gamma|\mu\rho}^{pSE} \right), \\ \hat{\Pi}_2(p)_{\alpha\gamma|\mu\rho}^{pSE} = \frac{\kappa^2\pi}{48(2\pi)^5} [\text{the same as in (5.4)}] \log \left(\frac{-p^2 - i0}{M^2} \right). \quad (5.6)$$

$U(1)_{EM}$ -perturbative gauge invariance to second order holds trivially:

$$d_{Q_A} T_2(x, y)^{pSE} = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

The photon self-energy 2-point distribution can be written also in terms of the photon field A^μ :

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(x, y)^{pSE} &=: A^\gamma(x)_{,\alpha} A^\rho(y)_{,\mu} : \left(-4i \Pi(x-y)_{\alpha\gamma|\mu\rho}^{pSE} \right) \\ &=: A^\gamma(x) A^\rho(y) : \left(-i \Pi(x-y)_{\gamma\rho} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

by disregarding non-contributing divergences (in the adiabatic limit $g \rightarrow 1$ of Eq. (2.1)). Then $T_2(x, y)^{pSE}$ has the same structure as the photon self-energy in QED [7] and the ‘reduced’ photon self-energy tensor appearing Eq. (5.8) is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Pi}(p)_{\gamma\rho} &= 4p^\mu p^\alpha \hat{\Pi}(p)_{\alpha\gamma|\mu\rho} \\ &= \frac{\kappa^2 \pi}{12(2\pi)^5} [p^2 p_\gamma p_\rho - p^4 \eta_{\gamma\rho}] \log \left(\frac{-p^2 - i0}{M^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

and satisfies the Ward identity

$$p^\gamma \hat{\Pi}(p)_{\gamma\rho} = 0 \quad (5.10)$$

as in QED.

As a last point, we discuss the normalization of $T_2(x, y)^{pSE}$ in the second line of Eq. (5.8) with singular order four from (5.9). Actually, we should consider the normalization of Eq. (5.6) with singular order two, but owing to the relation (5.9), the two analyses lead to the same conclusion. The normalization terms have the form

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(x, y)^{pSE} &=: A^\gamma(x) A^\rho(y) : \left(-i N(\partial_x, \partial_y)_{\gamma\rho} \delta^{(4)}(x-y) \right), \\ \hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho} &= \hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^{(0)} + \hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^{(2)} + \hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^{(4)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Due to the same reasons as pointed out in Sec. 4.4, the local normalization can be expressed in momentum space through the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^{(0)} &= \Psi [c_1 \eta_{\gamma\rho}], \\ \hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^{(2)} &= \Psi [c_2 p_\gamma p_\rho + c_3 \eta_{\gamma\rho} p^2], \\ \hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^{(4)} &= \Psi [c_4 p^2 p_\gamma p_\rho + c_5 \eta_{\gamma\rho} p^4]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Here, $c_1, \dots, c_5$ are undetermined real numbers and $\Psi := \kappa^2 \pi / 12(2\pi)^5$. Requiring (5.10) for $\hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^{(i)}$, $i = 0, 2, 4$, we reduce the freedom in the choice of the normalization parameters in the polynomials to

$$\hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^{(0)} = 0, \quad \hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^{(2)} = c_2 \Psi [p_\gamma p_\rho - \eta_{\gamma\rho} p^2], \quad (5.13)$$

$$\hat{N}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^{(4)} = c_4 \Psi [p^2 p_\gamma p_\rho - \eta_{\gamma\rho} p^4]. \quad (5.14)$$

The normalized photon self-energy tensor then reads

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Pi}(p)_{\gamma\rho}^N &= \left(\frac{p_\gamma p_\rho}{p^2} - \eta_{\gamma\rho} \right) \hat{\Pi}(p^2)_N, \quad \text{with} \\ \hat{\Pi}(p^2)_N &:= \Psi \left[p^4 \log \left(\frac{-p^2 - i0}{M^2} \right) + c_2 p^2 + c_4 p^4 \right].\end{aligned}\quad (5.15)$$

In order to fix the remaining free parameters c_2 and c_4 , we consider the total photon propagator in momentum space, defined as the sum of the free photon Feynman propagator and an increasing number of self-energy insertions [7]

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{D}(p)_{\mu\nu}^{\text{tot}} &= +\eta_{\mu\nu} \hat{D}_0^F(p) + \hat{D}_0^F(p) \tilde{\Pi}(p)_{\mu\nu}^N \hat{D}_0^F(p) + \\ &\quad + \hat{D}_0^F(p) \tilde{\Pi}(p)_\mu^N{}^\lambda \hat{D}_0^F(p) \tilde{\Pi}(p)_{\lambda\nu}^N \hat{D}_0^F(p) + \dots \\ &= \hat{D}_0^F(p) [\eta_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\Pi}(p)_\mu^N{}^\lambda \hat{D}(p)_{\lambda\nu}^{\text{tot}}],\end{aligned}\quad (5.16)$$

with $\tilde{\Pi}(p)_{\mu\nu}^N := (2\pi)^4 \hat{\Pi}(p)_{\mu\nu}^N$. Multiplying (5.16) with $\hat{D}_0^F(p)^{-1}$ and with η_ρ^ν we obtain

$$[\eta_\mu^\lambda \hat{D}_0^F(p)^{-1} - \tilde{\Pi}(p)_\mu^N{}^\lambda] \hat{D}(p)_{\lambda\rho}^{\text{tot}} = \eta_{\mu\rho}. \quad (5.17)$$

The inverse of the total photon propagator is then

$$(\hat{D}(p)_{\text{tot}}^{-1})_{\mu\nu} = (2\pi)^2 [\eta_{\mu\nu}(-p^2 - i0) - (2\pi)^2 \hat{\Pi}(p)_{\mu\nu}^N]. \quad (5.18)$$

Therefore, inverting the expression above, the total propagator reads

$$\hat{D}(p)_{\text{tot}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{-p^2 - i0 - \hat{\Pi}(p^2)_N} + \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2} F(p^2) \right]. \quad (5.19)$$

The explicit form of the function $F(p^2)$ is not important, because the last term vanishes between transversal photon operators. Photon mass- and coupling constant normalization

$$\hat{\Pi}(p^2)_N \Big|_{p^2=0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\hat{\Pi}(p^2)_N}{p^2} \Big|_{p^2=0} = 0, \quad (5.20)$$

yield $c_2 = 0$. The last parameter c_4 is non-essential, because it only shifts the mass scale M . Therefore, all local finite ambiguities in (5.11) can be reduced to a single unknown normalization parameter.

This concludes our discussion of the loop graphs to second order in causal perturbation theory.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Prof. G. Scharf, Adrian Müller and Mark Wellmann for discussions and comments regarding these topics.

References

- [1] R.P. Feynman, F.B. Morinigo, W.G. Wagner and B. Hatfield, *FEYNMAN LECTURES ON GRAVITATION*, Reading, Addison-Wesley, 1995.
- [2] S. Deser and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “*One-Loop Divergences of Quantized Einstein–Maxwell Fields*”, *Phys. Rev.* **D10**, 401 (1974).
- [3] D.M. Capper, M.J. Duff and L. Halpern, “*Photon Corrections to the Graviton Propagator*”, *Phys. Rev.* **10**, 461 (1974).
- [4] S. Deser and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “*Non-Renormalizability of the Quantized Einstein–Maxwell System*”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 245 (1974).
- [5] S. Deser, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Boulware, “*Uniqueness and Non-Renormalizability of Quantum Gravitation*”, TEL-AVIV 1974, PROCEEDINGS, GENERAL RELATIVITY AND GRAVITATION, New York 1975, 1-18.
- [6] H. Epstein and V. Glaser, “*The Rôle of Locality in Perturbation Theory*”, *Annales Poincare Phys. Theor.* **A19**, 211 (1973).
- [7] G. Scharf, *FINITE QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS: THE CAUSAL APPROACH*, Berlin, Springer, 1995.
- [8] M. Dutsch, T. Hurth, F. Krahe and G. Scharf, “*Causal Construction of Yang–Mills Theories. I*”, *Nuovo Cim.* **106A**, 1029 (1993).
M. Dutsch, T. Hurth, F. Krahe and G. Scharf, “*Causal Construction of Yang–Mills Theories. II*”, *Nuovo Cim.* **107A**, 375 (1994).
- [9] I. Schorn, “*Gauge Invariance of Quantum Gravity in the Causal Approach*”, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14**, 653 (1997).
I. Schorn, “*Ghost Coupling in Causal Quantum Gravity*”, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14**, 671 (1997).
- [10] D.M. Capper and M. Ramon Medrano, “*Gravitational Slavnov–Ward Identities*”, *Phys. Rev.* **D9**, 1641 (1974).
- [11] D.M. Capper and M.J. Duff, “*Trace Anomalies in Dimensional Regularization*”, *Nuovo Cim.* **23A**, 173 (1974).
- [12] N. Grillo, “*Quantization of the Graviton Field, Characterization of the Physical Subspace and Unitarity in Causal Quantum Gravity*”, [hep-th/9911118](#).
- [13] N. Grillo, “*Finite One-Loop Calculations in Quantum Gravity: Graviton Self-Energy, Perturbative Gauge Invariance and Slavnov–Ward Identities*”, [hep-th/9912097](#).

- [14] G. Scharf and M. Wellmann, “*Spin-2 Gauge Theories and Perturbative Gauge Invariance*”, [hep-th/9903055](#).
- [15] A. Aste, “*Two-Loop Diagrams in Causal Perturbation Theory*”, *Annals Phys.* **257**, 158 (1997), [hep-th/9608193](#).
- [16] R.M. Wald, “*Spin-2 Fields and General Covariance*”, *Phys. Rev.* **D33**, 3613 (1986).
- [17] M. Dutsch, “*Slavnov–Taylor Identities from the Causal Point of View*”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A12**, 3205 (1997), [hep-th/9606105](#).
- [18] D.M. Capper and M.J. Duff, “*Conformal Anomalies and the Renormalizability Problem in Quantum Gravity*”, *Phys. Lett.* **53A**, 361 (1975).
- [19] J.F. Donoghue, “*Leading Quantum Correction to the Newtonian Potential*”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 2996 (1994), [gr-qc/9310024](#).
- [20] J.F. Donoghue, “*General Relativity as an Effective Field Theory: The Leading Quantum Corrections*”, *Phys. Rev.* **D50**, 3874 (1994), [gr-qc/9405057](#).
- [21] H.W. Hamber and S. Liu, “*On the Quantum Corrections to the Newtonian Potential*”, *Phys. Lett.* **B357**, 51 (1995), [hep-th/9505182](#).
- [22] J.A. Helayel-Neto, A. Penna-Firme and I.L. Shapiro, “*Scalar QED $\hbar$ -Corrections to the Coulomb Potential*”, [hep-th/9910080](#).